

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,652	07/09/2003	Kristian DiMatteo	1001.1309103	9311
28075 7590 III/25/2008 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			EXAMINER	
			YABUT, DIANE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/615.652 DIMATTEO, KRISTIAN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DIANE YABUT 3734 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 20.22.24-26.34-37 and 39-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20.22,24-26,34-37 and 39-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 08/20/2008.

The examiner acknowledges the amendments made to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 20, 22, 25-26, 34-36, and 39-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravenscroft et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,558) in view of Vargas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,419,681).

Claims 20, 22, 25, 34, and 39-44: Ravenscroft et al. discloses a conically-shaped filtering basket including an apex, comprising a body member 12, a plurality of struts including a proximal end region and a distal end region, the plurality of struts, or arms, 26 that are substantially straight and have a distal (or first) end region and a proximal (or second) end region wherein the proximal end region is fixedly attached to the body member with the struts extending therefrom, each arm including a joined end fixedly attached to the apex, and a plurality of anchoring members 28 disposed adjacent the distal end region of at least some of the plurality of struts or on a distal end of each of the struts, and a weakened region, or reduced cross-sectional area region, 30 defined in

Art Unit: 3734

each of the struts adjacent the anchoring member, coupling the anchoring member to the distal end region of at least some of the plurality of struts (Figures 1-2).

Ravenscroft et al. discloses the claimed device except for a weakened or reduced cross-sectional area region being configured to fail, releasing the anchoring member from a stem portion 12.

Vargas et al. teaches a device comprising a plurality of struts each having a weakened region or reduced cross-sectional area ("frangible") region 402 being configured to fail, before the plurality of struts fail, leading to separation from the device body of struts, or a means for releasing the anchoring member that releases the portion 406 from the portion 404 containing of the plurality of struts (Figure 22; col. 13, line 22 to col. 14, line 31). The weakened region 402 is directly coupling the anchoring members (characterized by portion 404) to the distal end region of at least some of the plurality of struts or arms (characterized by ref 424). The reduced cross-sectional area 402 is considered to be disposed between the arms and the anchoring members.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a weakened region configured to fail to release the anchoring members in Ravenscroft et al., as taught by Vargas et al., in order to avoid any kind of disturbance to the vessel wall in case of excessive or unexpected growth of the endothelium layer. Also, weakened, reduced cross-sectional area, or "frangible" regions are commonly used in the art to facilitate removal of delivery devices from the devices being delivered.

Claim 26: Ravenscroft et al. discloses the struts having bends 42 (Figure 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,652 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

Claims 35-36: Ravenscroft et al. discloses the reduced cross-sectional area region 30 defined by a notch or divet, wherein a "notch" and a "divet" are both understood as meaning an "indentation" (Figure 2).

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Ravenscroft et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,558) and Vargas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,419,681), as applied to Claim 20 above, and further in view of El-Nounou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,242,462).

<u>Claim 24</u>: Ravenscroft et al. and Vargas et al. disclose the claimed device except for the body member including a bore.

El-Nounou et al. teaches a filter device with a body member 62 having a bore 68 which is beneficial in receiving a guidewire during manipulation of the filter (Figure 7 and col. 4, lines 51-53, col. 2, lines 35-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a bore in the body member, as taught by El-Nounou et al., to Ravenscroft et al. and Vargas et al. in order to manipulate the filter device by a guidewire or hook.

Art Unit: 3734

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Ravenscroft et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,558) and Vargas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,419,681), as applied to Claim 34 above, and further in view of Ambrisco et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,557).

<u>Claim 37</u>: Ravenscroft et al. and Vargas et al. discloses the claimed device except for the reduced-cross sectional area being defined by an opening in the strut.

Ambrisco et al. teaches a reduced cross-sectional area defined by an opening 318 in a strut 312 which can be useful in allowing a guidewire or hook to pass through for manipulation of the filter device (Figure 36C and col. 20, lines 1-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide an opening in the strut, as taught by Ambrisco et al., to Ravenscroft et al. and Vargas et al. in order to manipulate the filter device by a guidewire or hook.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 08/20/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. The applicant generally argues that Vargas et al. do not appear to teach or suggest a weakened region directly coupling the anchoring member to the distal end region of at least some of the plurality of the struts, since the frangible links are directly connected to the axially extending members 420 and 422 rather than to struts.
 However, as maintained above, the weakened region 402 is directly coupling the anchoring members (characterized by portion 404) to the distal end region of at least

Art Unit: 3734

some of the plurality of struts or arms (characterized by ref **424**). The reduced crosssectional area **402** is considered to be disposed between the arms and the anchoring members. Therefore, the device of Vargas et al. reads on this limitation.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIANE YABUT whose telephone number is (571)272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Diane Yabut/ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Todd E Manahan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731